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1. Introduction
The Achilles tendon is the primary plantar flexor of the ankle joint and it is considered the strongest tendon in the body [1]. The achilles tendon rupture most commonly occurs in the third to fourth decade of life specifically in males during sports activities [2,3]. Controversy is existed between conservative and operative treatment of acute achilles tendon rupture [4-6]. However operative treatment was proved to have the best functional results and more patient satisfaction [4,7-9]. Non operative mana-
agement is associated with re-rupture rates of 13% to 33% [4,6]. The operative treatment has the benefit of early mobilization, lower re-rupture rates, and earlier return to sports practice and daily activities [8-10] but wound complications rate are more commonly occur with a percent varies from 0% to 22% [11,12]. Open and percutaneous repair do exist for managing patients who had acute achilles tendon rupture. Amlang et al. introduced the percutaneous repair technique of achilles tendon rupture [13]. The blood supply of achilles tendon comes from three sources. Distally, the tendon had a blood supply from the peri-osteum surrounding the calcaneal bone. The middle part takes blood supply from the paratenon which is considered the main blood supply while the proximal part had a blood supply from the myotendinous junction. The achilles tendon is divided into three zones according this pattern of blood vascularity. Zone I is less than 3 cm from the insertion of the tendon in the calcaneus. Zone II is about 3-6 cm from tendon insertion in the calcaneus while zone III is more than 6 cm from tendon insertion in the calcaneus. The commonest injury of achilles tendon occurs in Zone II [14]. The main aim of repairing acute achilles tendon rupture is to restore the anatomical length of the triceps surae through re-approximation of the torn ends of the achilles tendon to restore the normal biomechanics around the ankle joint. This can be accomplished through preserving the blood supply of the achilles tendon, avoid damage to the tendon substance to maintain its functional properties and protecting the surrounding vital structures. In our study, we aimed to assess the functional outcomes of both open and percutaneous repair of acute achilles tendon rupture and the incidence of complications in both repair types.

2. Patients and Methods
Twenty patients with surgically treated acute achilles tendon rupture were retrospectively reviewed and functionally evaluated. The study was conducted between January 2017 and January 2019. Our Intuitional Review Board approved the study.

2.1. Inclusion criteria
Patients with acute rupture of the acute achilles tendon rupture whether open or closed injury.

2.2. Exclusion criteria
1) Patients with extensive soft tissue damage and lacerated wounds.
2) Patients with pathological achilles tendon rupture as post steroid injection. All patients were evaluated clinically and radiologically. Clinically, the patients were unable to actively planter-flex the ankle joint and there was a palpable a gap palpable at the distal part of the tendon. Thompson test through Calf-squeezing was positive in all patients. Plain radiographs including anteroposterior and lateral views of the ankle were done to exclude associated fractures. All necessary investigations had bone done before surgery.

2.3. Surgical technique
Prone position was used in all patients. The patient’s leg can be elevated using a pillow which placed under patient’s leg. This position facilitates good adaptation of the tendon parts and prevents excessive plantar flexion to limit over tightening. Antibiotics (first generation cephalosporin) had been administrated half an hour before elevating the tourniquet which was inflated after full exsanguination of the limb. Saline wash was mandatory especially in cases with open wounds.

2.4. For percutaneous repair
Three slit shaped incisions were done medial and lateral to the proximal portion
of the tendon and two slit shaped incision were done medial and lateral to the distal portion. Absorbable sutures (Vicryl No. 2 Ethicon) were used with Mayo needle. The proximal portion was captured by a suture passed transverse the 1st proximal slits, then suture was crossed to the 2nd proximal slits and to the 3rd proximal slits. Sutures were crisscrossed through the gap to capture the distal portion of the tendon and sutures were passed through the distal slits with the same technique mentioned above. The suture was tied above the calcaneus which is fixed in plantar flexion position. The subcutaneous tissue was close adequately. Non-absorbable sutures were used to close the skin, fig. (1-a,b,c).

2.5. For open repair
Posteromedial approach was used to expose the injured part of the tendon. The paratenon was carefully incised in a longitudinal direction. We had to protect the neurovascular bundle which is located medially. After trimming the ruptured muscle fibers. The tendon was repaired using absorbable sutures (Vicryl No. 2 Ethicon). Modified Kessler end-to-end method was used. The paratenon was carefully reconstructed after repair. The subcutaneous tissue was close adequately. Non-absorbable sutures were used to close the skin. fig. (2-a,b,c).

Figure (1) shows a. intraoperative photo showing open rupture of achilles tendon and repair through percutaneous technique, b. the proximal part of the tendon is captured by sutures through the three slit shaped incisions, c. the sutures were crisscrossed through the wound gap to reattach the proximal and distal parts of tendon.

Figure (2) shows a. pre-operative photo showing closed rupture of achilles tendon and repair through open technique, b. intraoperative photo showing both ends of achilles tendon through posteromedial approach, c. intraoperative photo showing skin closure after open repair of achilles tendon.
2.6. Postoperative treatment

Immobilization in a below knee cast for four weeks with the foot in maximum planter flexion was done for all patients. Stitches were removed after 14 days. Physiotherapy started after removal of the cast at four weeks. All patients followed a standardized rehabilitation protocol. All patients were followed up for at least 12 months. Evaluation of the patients regarding ankle movements, pain and associated complications was done at 4 weeks, 3, 6 and 12 months. At the last visit, all patients were functionally evaluated according to the achilles tendon rupture score (ATRS) [15].

3. Results

Twenty patients were included in our study. 10 patients were subjected to percutaneous repair and 10 patients were subjected to open repair. All patients were followed up for at least one year. Age range from 10 to 60 years. Mechanism of injury was sharp objects in 15 patients, ruptureachilles tendon while practicing sports in 3 patients and 2 patients had injury due to falling from height. 17 patients were male.

The right foot was affected in 12 cases. 17 case had open acute achilles tendon rupture while 3 case had closed acute achilles tendon rupture, tab. (1). The mean time for patients’ return to work was 7 weeks in cases who underwent percutaneous achilles tendon repair group and 9 weeks for patients who had open achilles tendon repair group. At the last follow up, all patients had returned to their normal daily activities with no morbidity. Wound infection occurred in two cases while delayed skin healing occurred in one case in patients who treated with open repair and they were treated with antibiotics, continuous dressing and complete wound healing had been occurred with no residual complications apart from a big skin scar. These complications were not experienced in patients with percutaneous repair. Other complications as skin necrosis, wound fistula, sural nerve injury and tendon re-rupture had not been experienced throughout the study in both groups. All patients were subjected to Achilles Tendon Rupture Score (ATRS) at the final follow up. The score (ATRS) yielded nearly similar results for both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table (1) showing results of our study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Open or closed achilles rupture</th>
<th>Mechanism of injury</th>
<th>Mean Period to return to work</th>
<th>Mean follow up period</th>
<th>Mean Achilles tendon rupture score</th>
<th>Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous repair group</td>
<td>Male (8)</td>
<td>Right side (5)</td>
<td>Open injury (8)</td>
<td>1-Sharp objects (7) 2-Practicing sports (2) 3-Falling from height (1)</td>
<td>7 weeks</td>
<td>15 month</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (2)</td>
<td>Left side (5)</td>
<td>Closed injury (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (9)</td>
<td>Right side (7)</td>
<td>Open injury (9)</td>
<td>1-Sharp objects (8) 2-Practicing sports (1) 3-Falling from height (1)</td>
<td>9 weeks</td>
<td>18 month</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Two case of wound infection and one case of delayed skin healing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (1)</td>
<td>Left side (3)</td>
<td>Closed injury (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open repair group</td>
<td>Male (17)</td>
<td>Right side (12)</td>
<td>Open injury (17)</td>
<td>1-Sharp objects (15) 2-Practicing sports (3) 3-Falling from height (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (3)</td>
<td>Left side (8)</td>
<td>Closed injury (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Male (17)</td>
<td>Right side (12)</td>
<td>Open injury (17)</td>
<td>1-Sharp objects (15) 2-Practicing sports (3) 3-Falling from height (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (3)</td>
<td>Left side (8)</td>
<td>Closed injury (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. Discussion

The achilles tendon is commonly injured during sports activity or with sharp instruments [16]. Direct trauma (sharp objects) or indirect trauma (during sport practice) are the main mechanisms of acute achilles tendon rupture. Spontaneous rupture may occur in patients with autoimmune diseases, infectious diseases, collagen diseases and inflammatory diseases [14]. The main aim of treatment of acute achilles tendon rupture is to minimize the morbidity, had both acceptable and satisfactory clinical and functional outcomes at the final follow-up and to prevent the incidence of associated complications. There are multiple open surgical procedures that were used to repair acute achilles tendon rupture but no one is superior. Wound infection, delayed healing, adhesions and skin necrosis are potential complications that may occur with open procedures and more commonly seen in diabetic patients moreover these open procedures may be associated with delayed tendon healing time and this was not suitable for sport practitioners who wish to return earlier to their pre-injury activity level [2,17-19]. Amlang et al [13]. Introduced the technique of percutaneous acute achilles tendon repair to overcome these complications but tendon re rupture and sural nerve injury were a frequent complications [20-22]. Although the percutaneous treatment decreases wound complication rate, the incidence of sural nerve injury rate increases as high as 16.7% [23,24]. Our objective in this study is to assess the functional outcomes and the incidence of complications in both techniques for achilles tendon repair. We observed that the percutaneous achilles tendon repair group had the advantage of minimally invasive technique with less complications as wound infection, delayed skin healing and rapid return to work and daily activities while the group with open achilles tendon repair was associated with increased incidence of wound complication as infection, delayed skin healing, scar formation and late return to sport and daily activities. These observations were at the short term follow up period (first 6 months) while at the long follow up period (mean one year), both groups had nearly the same functional outcomes with complete satisfaction of all patient of both groups. We did not experienced complications as sural nerve injury or tendon re-rupture throughout our study. Several studies concerned with comparing both open and percutaneous achilles tendon repair do exist in the literature. Cretnik et al [25]. Compared two groups with open and percutaneous achilles tendon repair and his results was similar to our results. They reported that no statistically significant difference in functional outcomes between open surgical repair and percutaneous repair of acute achilles tendon rupture and there were statistically significant major complications were associated with the open achilles tendon repair group. Karabinas et al [26] operated 34 patients with acute Achilles tendon ruptures. He reported that both percutaneous and open achilles tendon repair had similarly successful clinical and functional outcomes however cosmetic appearance was better in the group of patients treated with percutaneous achilles tendon repair. Gigante et al [27] reported that both methods of repairing acute achilles tendon rupture were safe and effective under the same rehabilitation program. Lim et al. [21] had been operated 66 patients with acute achilles tendon rupture with both percutaneous and
open repair technique. They observed a high rate of complication in open repair group. There were 7 cases of wound infections and two re-ruptures in the open group compared to 3 cases of wound puckering and one re-rupture in the percutaneous group. Henrıquez et al [6] reported that both percutaneous and open achilles tendon repair had a similar function outcome but the percutaneous technique was associated with a better cosmetic appearance, a lower rate of wound complications, and no apparent increase in the risk of re-rupture. Both techniques open and percutaneous repair were effective in treatment of acute achilles tendon rupture however the percutaneous repair was associated with less wound complications than open repair however both techniques had the same functional outcomes at the long-term follow up. One of the limitations of this study is small sample size so we unable to do a statistical analysis.

5. Conclusion

There is no difference between percutaneous and open repair of achilles tendon at the long-term follow up. Both groups had equal functional outcomes however the percutaneous repair of achilles tendon was associated with less wound complications than open repair. We advise percutaneous achilles tendon repair as it was associated with less wound complications and better cosmetic appearance compared to open achilles tendon repair.

References


[26] Karabinas, P., Benetos, I., Lamprop-